

REMARKS

Claims 36-75 are pending in the Application. The Examiner maintained his objection to Figure 1. Further, the Examiner maintained his rejection of claims 36 and 37 on the ground of statutory-type double patenting. Additionally, the Examiner maintained his rejection of claim 75 on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting. Furthermore, the Examiner maintained his rejection of claims 36-75 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

I. OBJECTIONS TO DRAWINGS:

The Examiner has maintained his objection to Figure 1 as the Examiner believes that Figure 1 should be designated by the legend of "Prior Art." Office Action (7/10/2007), page 3; Office Action (1/11/2008), page 3. The Examiner requests Applicants to remove the term "conventional" on page 5 of Applicants' Specification to overcome the drawing objections. Office Action (1/11/2008), page 3. As indicated above, Applicants amended the Specification as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objections to the drawings.

II. REJECTIONS:

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections mentioned above and file a Notice of Appeal concurrently herewith. Applicants will specifically provide the reasons for traversal in Applicants' Appeal Brief.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner call Applicants' attorney at the below listed number if the Examiner believes that such a discussion would be helpful in resolving any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

WINSTEAD P.C.

Attorneys for Applicants

By: 

Robert A. Voigt, Jr.
Reg. No. 47,159

P.O. Box 50784
Dallas, TX 75201
(512) 370-2832

Austin_1 527969v.1